arXiv:quant-ph/0503075v1 8 Mar 2005

SUSY transformations between digonalizable and non-diagonalizable Hamiltonians

Boris F Samsonov

Department of Physics, Tomsk State University, 36 Lenin Avenue, 634050 Tomsk, Russia

Abstract. Recently (see quant-ph/0503040) an explicit example has been given of a $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric *non-diagonalizable* Hamiltonian. In this paper we show that such Hamiltonians appear as supersymmetric (SUSY) partners of Hermitian (hence diagonalizable) Hamiltonians and they can be turned back to diagonalizable forms by appropriate SUSY transformations.

1. It is well-known that there exist non-Hermitian Hamiltonians which cannot be reduced to a diagonal form by the change of the basis (so called *non-diagonalizable Hamiltonians*, see e.g. [1]). To illustrate better our ideas we will consider here only regular Sturm-Liouville problems. The set of eigenfunctions of a non-diagonalizable Hamiltonian is not complete in corresponding Hilbert space [2, 3]. The *characteristic determinant* has multiple roots. Together with any eigenfunction with a simple eigenvalue coinciding with a multiple root of the characteristic determinant there exists a set of *associated* functions [2, 3]. The linear hull of the eigenfunction and corresponding set of the associated functions forms for the given value of the energy the root subspace (see e.g. [3]). Recently an explicit example of an exactly solvable $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric non-diagonalizable Hamiltonian was given [4].

We have discovered that supersymmetry (SUSY) transformations may convert an Hermitian (hence digonalizable) Hamiltonian to a non-diagonalizable Hamiltonian, which in particular can possess the $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry, and vice versa. The possibility which does not appear in the linear algebra. It is related with the possibility to "create" more than one "bound state" at a given non-degenerate value of the energy. Since the energy level is non-degenerate the other state cannot be an eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian but it can be an associated function. In the opposite process, when we are "deleting" an eigenfunction having a non-zero associated function, the latter is transformed to a "real eigenfunction". This looks like it "emerges from the background" and, therefore it may be called "background eigenfunction".

2. We have found that the possibility described above appears if *second order* SUSY transformations or higher are used.

Let us consider two ordinary second order differential equations

$$(h_0 - E)\psi_E(x) = 0 \qquad h_0 = -\partial_x^2 + V_0(x) \qquad x \in [a, b] \tag{1}$$

$$(h_1 - E)\varphi_E(x) = 0 \qquad h_1 = -\partial_x^2 + V_1(x) \qquad x \in [a, b] \tag{2}$$

with a and b being finite numbers. We say that the Hamiltonian h_1 is related with h_0 by a second order SUSY transformation if

1. $$V_1 = V_0 - 2\left[\log W(u_1, u_2)\right]'' \tag{3}$$

$$W(u_1, u_2) = u_1 u_2' - u_1' u_2 \neq 0 \qquad \forall x \in (a, b)$$

2. $$(h_0 - \alpha_{1,2}) u_{1,2}(x) = 0\,. \tag{4}$$

It is known (see e.g. [6]) that in this case φ_E is related with ψ_E as follows:

$$\varphi_E = L\psi_E = W(u_1, u_2, \psi_E)/W(u_1, u_2) \qquad E \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \tag{5}$$

$$\varphi_{\alpha_{1,2}} = u_{2,1}/W(u_1, u_2)\,. \tag{6}$$

We are using the symbol W to denote Wronskians and will everywhere suppose that $W(u_1, u_2) \neq 0\ \forall x \in (a, b)$. Equation (5) holds for any ψ_E from the two dimensional space $\ker(h_0 - E)$. The operator L intertwines the Hamiltonians h_0 and h_1, $Lh_0 = h_1 L$.

Let us suppose that $V_0(x)$ is a real-valued and sufficiently smooth function for $x \in [a, b]$. Consider two boundary value problems, that we will denote (I) and (II) respectively, defined by the equations (1) and (2) and the boundary conditions

$$\psi_E(a) = \psi_E(b) = 0 \tag{7}$$

$$\varphi_E(a) = \varphi_E(b) = 0\,. \tag{8}$$

It is well-known (see e.g. [5]) that the problem (I) has only discrete, simple and real spectrum of eigenvalues $E = E_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

We will now formulate conditions for u_1 and u_2 leading to a complex-valued $V_1(x)$ given by (3) with a real and simple spectrum coinciding with the spectrum of V_0 except for one level and the Hamiltonian h_1 is non-diagonalizable.

It follows from (5) and (1) that

$$\varphi_E = \frac{1}{W(u_1, u_2)}\left[(W(u_1, u_2)E + \alpha_2 u_1' u_2 - \alpha_1 u_1 u_2')\psi_E + (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) u_1 u_2 \psi_E'\right]\,. \tag{9}$$

It is clear from here that if both u_1 and ψ_E, $E \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2$, satisfy the boundary conditions (7) then φ_E given by (9) satisfies the boundary conditions (8). The only possibility for u_1 to satisfy the zero boundary conditions is to be an eigenfunction of h_0, $u_1 = \psi_{E_k}$, so that it is (up to an inessential phase factor) real and $\alpha_1 = E_k$, which we shall suppose to be the case. This means that the Hamiltonian h_1 has the same spectrum as h_0 except maybe for the values α_1 and α_2 but since u_1 is supposed to satisfy the boundary conditions (7), the function φ_{α_2} given in (6) is an eigenfunction of h_1 and $E = \alpha_2$ is the spectral point for h_1. Remembering that we want to keep the real character of the spectrum of h_1 we have to choose α_2 real also. So, we choose both α_1 and α_2 to be real and the function u_1 is fixed to be real but we want to get a complex potential difference defined by equation (3). This is possible if u_2 is a complex linear combination of two real linearly independent solutions of equation (1). Let $\alpha_2 (\neq \alpha_1)$

also coincides with a spectral point E_l of h_0, $\alpha_2 = E_l$, and $u_2 = \psi_{E_l} + ic\psi^{(2)}_{E_l}$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ where ψ_{E_l} satisfies the boundary conditions (7) and $\psi^{(2)}_{E_l}$ is any real solution of Eq. (1) at $E = E_l$ linearly independent with ψ_{E_l}. We notice that $u_2(x) \neq 0\ \forall x \in [a, b]$.

We claim that with u_1 and u_2 being chosen as it is described above the potential V_1 given in (3) has the spectrum coinciding with the spectrum of the initial V_0 except for the point $E = \alpha_1 = E_k$ which is absent. At the energy $E = \alpha_2 = E_l$ except for an eigenfunction of h_1 there exists an *associated function* (see e.g. [2, 3] and also [4]) which we will also call "background eigenfunction". It satisfies the inhomogeneous equation

$$(h_1 - E_l)\chi_{E_l} = \varphi_{E_l} \qquad \chi_{E_l}(a) = \chi_{E_l}(b) = 0 \tag{10}$$

and also the homogeneous one with the squared Hamiltonian

$$(h_1 - E_l)^2\chi_{E_l} = 0 \qquad \chi_{E_l}(a) = \chi_{E_l}(b) = 0 \qquad \chi_{E_l} \neq \varphi_{E_l}\,. \tag{11}$$

We would like to stress that the set $\{\varphi_n\}$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$; $n \neq k$ (E_k is "deleted") is not complete in $L^2(a, b)$. To have a complete set one has to add to this set the function χ_{E_l} [2, 3].

As it was already pointed out all spectral points E_n, $n \neq k, l$ of h_0 are spectral points of h_1 also. So, to prove our claim it remains to analyze only the points $E = \alpha_1 = E_k$ and $E = \alpha_2 = E_l$.

One of the solutions $\varphi^{(1)}_{E_k} = \varphi_{\alpha_1}$ of the Schrödinger equation with $E = \alpha_1 = E_k$ is given by (6) from which it follows that $\varphi^{(1)}_{E_k}(a) \neq 0$ and $\varphi^{(1)}_{E_k}(b) \neq 0$. A solution vanishing at one of the bounds, for instance at $x = a$

$$\varphi^{(2)}_{E_k}(x) = \varphi^{(1)}_{E_k}(x) \int_a^x \frac{1}{[\varphi^{(1)}_{E_k}(y)]^2} dy \tag{12}$$

does not vanish at the other bound. This means that $E = \alpha_1 = E_k$ is not a spectral point of h_1.

To get a solution of the Schrödinger equation at $E = \alpha_2 = E_l$ one can use formula (9) with $\psi_E = \psi_{E_l}$ which gives us the function φ_{E_l} satisfying the zero boundary conditions meaning as it was already mentionned that $E = E_l$ is the spectral point for h_1. Moreover, since $u_1(a) = 0$, from (9) one gets $\varphi_E(a) = (E - \alpha_2)\psi_E(a)$. Now if $\psi_E(a) \to \psi_{E_l}(a)$ when $E \to E_l$, remembering that $\psi_E(a)$ is an analytic function of E having a simple zero at $E = E_l$ (see e.g. [5]) we conclude that the function $\varphi_E(a)$ is also an analytic function of E but it has a double zero at $E = \alpha_2 = E_l$. In such a case together with the function φ_{E_l} there exists an associated function $\chi_{E_l} = (\partial\varphi_E/\partial_E)_{E=E_l}$ (see e.g. [2, 3]). It is evident that $\chi_{E_l}(a) = \chi_{E_l}(b) = 0$ and the equation (10) it satisfies can be obtained by taking the derivative of equation (2) with respect to E. Since $\varphi_E = L\psi_E$ and L is independent of E one has $\chi_{E_l} = L\tilde{\psi}_{E_l}$, $\tilde{\psi}_{E_l} = (\partial\psi_E/\partial_E)_{E=E_l}$. The function $\tilde{\psi}_{E_l}$ satisfies the equation $(h_0 - E_l)\tilde{\psi}_{E_l} = \psi_{E_l}$ but it does not satisfy the zero boundary conditions which agrees with the fact that h_0 is a diagonalizable Hamiltonian. Operator L (6) turns $\tilde{\psi}_{E_l}$ into a solution of the equation (10) satisfying the zero boundary conditions thus transforming it into a "background eigenfunction" of h_1.

In contrast to the usual SUSY scheme the opposite process, the "deletion" of the level $E = E_l$ does not actually delete this level. If we take the Hamiltonian h_1 as the initial Hamiltonian

for the next second order transformation, leading to the Hamiltonian h_2, and choose one of the transformation functions defining the transformation operator $L^{(2)}$ of the next step to be equal to φ_{E_l}, actual eigenfunction at $E = E_l$ is deleted but the associated function χ_{E_l} "comes out of the background" and becomes a true eigenfunction of h_2 at $E = E_l$. This statement is readily seen if one acts by $L^{(2)}$, which is constructed in a similar way as $L = L^{(1)}$ given in (5) and intertwines now h_1 and h_2, on both sides of Eq. (10), takes into account the intertwining relation $L^{(2)}h_1 = h_2L^{(2)}$ and the property $L^{(2)}\varphi_{E_l} = 0$. If a non-diagonalizable Hamiltonian has only one associated function it is transformed in this way into a diagonalizable Hamiltonian.

3. The simplest example illustrating the possibilities described above is the boundary value problem with the zero initial potential $V_0(x) = 0$. We will choose $a = -\pi$ and $b = \pi$. The solutions of the boundary value problem (1), (7) is well-known, for instance, its discrete spectrum is $E = E_n = \frac{1}{4}n^2$, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$.

Let us choose $u_1 = \sin(Ax)$ and $u_2 = \exp(-iBx)$, $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$. Formula (3) gives us the following $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonian:

$$V_1 = \frac{2A^2(A^2 - B^2)}{[\cos(Ax) - iB\sin(Ax)]^2}\,. \tag{13}$$

For $A = 1$ the function u_1 coincides with the first excited state of h_0 and for $B \neq n/2$ this potential is diagonalizable with the spectrum $E = E_n = \frac{1}{4}n^2$, $n = 1, 3, 4, 5, \ldots$ and $E_{\alpha_2} = B^2$. For $B = 2$ the function u_2 is a complex linear combination of the fourth excited state and another solution of Eq. (1) with $V_0(x) = 0$ at the same energy and the level E_{α_2} merges with the existing level $E = 4$ which "goes to the background". The potential (13) becomes non-diagonalizable with the discrete spectrum $E = E_n = \frac{1}{4}n^2$, $n = 1, 3, 4, 5, \ldots$ studied in detail in [4].

Now we would like to illustrate the possibility to transform the non-diagonalizable potential (13) at $A = 1$ and $B = 2$ into a digonalizable one. We choose V_1 as the initial potential and take $u_1 = \varphi_4$ and $u_2 = \varphi_{left}$ where φ_{left} is such that $\varphi_{left}(-\pi) = 0$. This yields us the following potential:

$$V_2 = \frac{(\kappa^2 - 1)[\kappa^2 - 1 - \kappa^2\cos(2x) + \cos(2\kappa x + 2\kappa\pi)]}{[\kappa\cos(\kappa x + \kappa\pi)\sin x - \sin(\kappa x + \kappa\pi)\cos x]^2} \qquad \kappa \neq 1 \tag{14}$$

where we denoted $\alpha_2 = \kappa^2$. It is regular $\forall x \in (-\pi, \pi)$ provided $0.5 \leq \kappa \leq 1.5$, $\kappa \neq 1$ and has the spectrum $E = E_n = \frac{n^2}{4}$, $n = 1, 3, 4\ldots$ and $E = \alpha_2 = \kappa^2$. For $\kappa = 1$ $\varphi_{left} = L\psi_{left} = 0$ and to realize the transformation with $\alpha_2 = 1$ one has to use the solution obtained with the help of formula (6). This corresponds to the backward transformation from V_1 to $V_0 = 0$ and hence one gets $V_2 = 0$. For a real κ the potential (14) is real and corresponds to the Hermitian (hence diagonalizable) Hamiltonian $h_2 = -\partial_x^2 + V_2$. So, we have transformed the non-diagonalizable Hamiltonian h_1 to the diagonalizable h_2. One can also transform h_1 into a non-Hermitian diagonalizable h_2 by choosing a complex linear combination of two linearly independent solutions of equation (2) corresponding to the same value of $E = \alpha_2$ as transformation function u_2.

Our last example is related with the possibility to enlarge the root subspace corresponding to $E = 4$ of the potential (13) at $A = 1$ and $B = 2$ from the dimension two till the dimension

three. For this aim we take $u_1 = \varphi_{E_1}$ and $u_2 = [9 - \exp(-2ix)]/[1 - 3\exp(2ix)]$ which yields the potential

$$V_2 = 6\,\frac{25e^{ix} + 324e^{2ix} + 1350e^{3ix} + 2500e^{4ix} + 2025e^{5ix}}{(3 + 25e^{ix} + 81e^{2ix} + 75e^{3ix})^2}\,.$$

It has the spectrum $E = \frac{n^2}{4}$, $n = 3, 4, 5, \ldots$.

We hope that the possibility to transform non-diagonalizable $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonians to diagonalizable forms may find application in complex quantum mechanics which is currently under developments.

This work is partially supported by the President Grant of Russia 1743.2003.2 and the Spanish MCYT and European FEDER grant BFM2002-03773. The author would like to acknowledge the hospitality of Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit of the Indian Statistical Institute in winter 2004 where this work has been started.

References

[1] Wong J 1967 *J. Math. Phys.* **8** 2039
[2] Naimark M A 1969 *Linear differential operators* (Moscow: Nauka)
[3] Marchenko V A 1977 *Sturm-Liouville operators and their applications* (Kiev: Naukova Dumka)
[4] Samsonov B F and Roy P 2005 e-print quant-ph/0503040
[5] Levitan B M and Sargsjan I S 1991 *Sturm-Liouville and Dirac operators* London: Kluwer
[6] Bagrov V G and Samsonov B F 1995 *Theor. Math. Phys.* **104** 356